



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/697,730	10/25/2000	Daniel R. Cassiday	SUN1P412/5329	4546		
22434 75	90 05/13/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	VER & THOMAS LLP	SEFCHECK, C	SEFCHECK, GREGORY B			
P.O. BOX 778 BERKELEY, CA 94704-0778			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2662	1.1		
			DATE MAILED: 05/13/2004	<i>i II</i>		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			004
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	PRY
•	09/697,730	CASSIDAY ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gregory B Sefcheck	2662	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			roce
THE REPLY FILED 03 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	IIS APPLICATION IN CONDITIC avoid abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment which al (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	ON FOR ALLOWAN cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	NCE. oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moteraned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the ian SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ite on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in t	the final rejection. FINAL REJECTION. S 36(a) and the appropriate exithe final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of f	înally rejected clair	ns.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections.	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	· · · —	eparate, timely filed	d amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NC	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>18-28 and 31-34</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		-

MASSAM KIZOU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

10. Other: ____

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/697,730

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not convincing. The Examiner has reviewed all of the record and believes the rejections of claims 18-28 and 31-34 are proper.